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Abstract

Introduction: Esthetics is the Greek word for perception, deals with beauty and the beautiful. Esthetics in orthodontics is defined mainly in terms of profile enhancement. Esthetic judgment is made by viewing the patient from the front in dynamic states like conversation, facial expressions, and smiling. Analysis of dimensional changes of smile is an important stage for the diagnosis, treatment planning and prognosis of any dental treatment involving aesthetic objectives. The evaluation of the vertical dimensional changes of the smile is a necessary procedure to achieve consistent form in orthodontic treatments.

Aims and Objectives: The objectives of this study were to evaluate and quantify upper lip soft-tissue changes in the vertical dimensions at both rest and maximum smile, to assess the differences of dimensions between the sexes, to assess differences between subjects with and without gingival display during the smile, quantification of differences in mandibular tooth exposure between the sexes and assessment of differences between different smile pattern groups.

Materials and Methods: Eighty volunteers (40 men, 40 women) aged 20 to 40 (mean 24.76 years) were recruited for this study. For each subject, 10 measurements of upper lip position and maxillary and mandibular incisor crown height at rest and in maximum smile were recorded. The individual measurements were correlated with sex distribution. Also an assessment of smile pattern was done which was correlated with the sexes as well as the 10 individual measurements.

Results: Relaxed and smiling external upper lip length was shorter in the women than in the men. Resting vermilion height and the maxillary central incisor height was also lesser in females than in males. The mean maxillary central incisor display at rest was greater in the women than in the men. A high smile line was 1.5 times more prevalent in the women. The upper lip was shortened by 31% in subjects with a high smile line compared with 39% in subjects with a low smile line.

Conclusions: Thus most of the measured variables like in upper lip length during smiling as well as during resting, maxillary incisor display and the vermilion height showed statistically significant sexual dimorphism.
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Introduction

The importance of beauty and attractiveness is well established in today’s society. A person’s psychosocial wellbeing has been established to be dependent on his/her dentofacial attractiveness. Normal dental appearance amongst various personal characteristics is considered to be more socially attractive than those with malocclusions [1]. An important step in creating attractive smiles is an understanding of the factors that help to create an attractive smile. The ability of a clinician to create the desirable smile has been the purpose of the various studies of beauty standards and norms [2]. Beauty is always evaluated subjectively. However, for this adequate tools are required to overcome the challenge of this subjectivity.

“Esthetics” a Greek word is that branch of philosophy that deals with beauty and the beautiful. The term “Esthetics” in orthodontics has been defined mainly in terms of enhancement of the profile. Orthodontics as a branch involves in recognizing what is interfering with the smile. In orthodontics to establish a treatment plan it is important to diagnose what is abnormal. Certain parameters in functional problems lead us to a diagnosis of the anomalies. Similarly aesthetic problems also require certain parameters in order to quantify the defects and decide on the treatment planning.
The purposes of this study were to evaluate and quantify soft-tissue changes of the lip in the vertical dimensions at both rest and maximum smile. Assessment of the differences of dimensions between the sexes as well as assessment of differences between subjects with and without gingival display during the smile. The differences in mandibular tooth exposure between the sexes and different smile pattern groups were also quantified.

Materials and Methods
Eighty volunteers (40 men, 40 women) aged 20 to 40 years were recruited for this study. No participants had undergone any previous orthodontic treatment that could affect the vertical position of the central and lateral incisors by either intrusive or extrusive mechanics, maxillofacial surgery or anterior maxillary tooth prosthodontic rehabilitation. According to the requirements of the Institutional Ethics Research Committee all participants had signed the consent form. For each subject, 10 measurements of upper lip position and maxillary incisor crown height at rest and in maximum smiling were recorded.

The age and the sex of the volunteers was recorded. For recording the maximum smile position, each subject was requested to present his or her full smile a few times, and measurements were taken when the subject successfully repeated the full smile pattern.

The following measurements were done on the volunteers:-

1. Resting external upper lip length (mm) - the vertical measurement from the centre of the base of the nose (subnasale) to the inferior border of the upper lip ( stomion superioris) (Figure I A)
2. Resting maxillary central incisor length (mm) - the vertical measurement from the base of the nose (subnasale) to the inferior border of the upper lip (stomion superioris) while smiling. (Figure I B)
3. Resting vermilion height- the vertical measurement from the incisal edge of the left central incisor.
4. Resting maxillary central incisor display (mm) - the vertical measurement from the incisal edge to the highest point on the gingival margin of right maxillary central incisor.
5. Smiling external upper lip length (mm) - the vertical measurement from the base of the nose (subnasale) to the lower border of the upper lip ( stomion superioris) while smiling. (Figure II C)
6. Smiling maxillary central incisor display (mm) - the vertical measurement from the incisal edge to the highest point of display of the incisor of right maxillary central incisor (Figure II E)
7. Smiling/resting external upper lip length ratio
8. Smiling/resting external upper lip length ratio
9. Smiling gingival display(mm) –the vertical measurement from gingival margin to point of maximum display of gingiva (Figure II D)
10. Smiling mandibular central incisor length (mm) - the measurement of the amount of mandibular incisor visibility vertically on smiling. (Figure II F)

All measurements were recorded by the first author to the nearest millimetre directly on the face using a Digital vernier calliper.-150MM/6 inch (Pia International) (Figure 2).

The smile pattern has been classified according to Tjan et al. as follows:-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Smile Pattern</th>
<th>Maxillary Incisor Visibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Smile</td>
<td>&lt;75% Of Clinical Crown Height Of Maxillary Central Incisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Smile</td>
<td>75-100% Of Clinical Crown Height Of Maxillary Central Incisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Smile</td>
<td>&gt;100% Of Clinical Crown Height And A Band Of Contiguous Gingiva</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total lip elevation length was defined (smiling maxillary central incisor display + gum exposure in smile – resting maxillary central incisor display) to quantify the vertical exposure capacity of the lip.

This variable is complementary to the smiling/resting external upper lip length ratio variable in representing the upper lip’s vertical contraction during smiling.

Results
Means and standard deviations, derived for all measured variables of the subjects, are reported with some statistical information.

The mean age of the whole study population was 24.76 years. The youngest subject was 20 years old, and the oldest was 40 years old.

The women’s mean age was 24.38 years, and the men’s mean age was 25.15 years.

A statistically significant sexual dimorphism was apparent in many of the measured variables.

1. Relaxed external upper lip length was 1.2 mm shorter in the women than in the men (P<0.05).
2. The mean maxillary central incisor display at rest was 0.6 mm greater in the women than in the men (P<0.05).
3. The resting vermilion height is 0.8 mm shorter than in men (p < 0.05).
4. The maxillary incisor height is also 0.37mm lesser in females than in males (p <0.05).
5. The upper vermilion length comprised 72% to 73% of the external upper lip length.
6. Smiling external upper lip length is also 0.89mm lesser in females than in males (p<0.05) resulting in a greater gingival display and/or incisal visibility in females compared to males while smiling.
7. A high smile line in which 100% OF CLINICAL CROWN HEIGHT AND A BAND OF CONTIGUOUS GINGIVA is visible is seen in 42.5% of the entire population. It is more prevalent in females (52.5%) than in males (32.5%).
8. A low smile line in which <75% OF CLINICAL CROWN HEIGHT OF MAXILLARY CENTRAL INCISOR is visible is seen in lesser percentage of the population i.e.18.89%.According to the study it is more prevalent in females (25%) than in males (12.5%).
9. An average smile line in which 75-100% OF CLINICAL CROWN HEIGHT OF MAXILLARY CENTRAL INCISOR is visible is seen in 38.8% of the population. It shows more prevelance in males (55%) than in females(25%) (Figure 3)

Statistical Analysis
• With the Pearsons correlation test a statistically significant difference was seen between the smile patterns in the male and female gender (p<0.05).(Table 1,2)
past to see a high smile line which is defined as gingival smile. Orthodontists and surgeons have been conditioned since the esthetic judgement of the patient. Observation of the patient from the front in dynamic states like conversation, facial expressions, and smiling is done to make an esthetic judgement of the patient.

Discussion
Vertical anterior tooth display is a very important aspect of dental and facial esthetics is the vertical anterior tooth display. Observation of the patient from the front in dynamic states like conversation, facial expressions, and smiling is done to make an esthetic judgement of the patient.

Orthodontists and surgeons have been conditioned since the past to see a high smile line which is defined as gingival smile or gummy smile as undesirable aesthetically [4, 5]. Depending on the diagnosis of the gummy smile treatment alternatives of gummy smile include various combinations of orthodontics, surgical and periodontal therapy. The effective correction of excessive display of gingiva and anterior maxillary dentition would benefit more from a combined interdisciplinary treatment [6-8] or sometimes an invasive surgical procedure rather than just a conservative orthodontic treatment. However the most important factor to be considered is whether the treatment of gummy smile is warranted. As Peck and Peck [9] claimed “We orthodontists tend to forget that facial esthetics interests all people everywhere, and the ultimate source of esthetic values depends on people and not just ourselves.”

Low smile lines have been found by Peck et al. [9] and Tjan and Miller [3] to be predominantly a male feature (2.5 to one male to female) and a high smile line is predominantly female (two to one female to male). Studies conducted by Vig and Brundo [10] have found sexual dimorphism in the smile patterns. These studies suggested that maxillary anterior tooth display to be almost double that of women as compared to men. Also females were found to be twice as likely as males to have a gummy smile.

The meaning of the form concept is that when we observe a particular facial pattern repeatedly, the chances of us perceiving it as “correct” is more likely. It was expected that excessive gingival display in maxillary arch will be more acceptable as aesthetic for females, whereas lower excessive gingival display will be more acceptable for male. Gingival and tooth exposure during smiling are challenging issues to many dental practitioners especially those who deal with smile aesthetics. Excessive display of teeth and gingival tissues is considered by many to be unattractive and usually requires intervention [11]. Data from this study, similar to other studies, clearly indicate sexual dimorphism in lip and tooth measurements. These differences are reflections of the simple biological fact that male subjects are uniformly larger than equivalent female subjects. The upper lip at rest and smiling were 1.2 mm and 0.9 mm respectively shorter in the women than in the men (P <0.01), and maxillary incisor exposures at rest were 0.6 mm more in the women than in the men (P <0.01).

Peck et al. [9] who recorded vertical measurements in young orthodontic patients (mean age, 15 years), observed that the difference in upper lip length between the sexes was only 2.2 mm, and that tooth exposures at rest were 5.3 mm in the girls and 4.7 mm in the boys. The clinical central incisor crown was 0.4 mm shorter in the women than in the men, and 4.7 mm in the boys.

The meaning of the form concept is that when we observe a particular facial pattern repeatedly, the chances of us perceiving it as “correct” is more likely. It was expected that excessive gingival display in maxillary arch will be more acceptable as aesthetic for females, whereas lower excessive gingival display will be more acceptable for male. Gingival and tooth exposure during smiling are challenging issues to many dental practitioners especially those who deal with smile aesthetics. Excessive display of teeth and gingival tissues is considered by many to be unattractive and usually requires intervention [11].

Data from this study, similar to other studies, clearly indicate sexual dimorphism in lip and tooth measurements. These differences are reflections of the simple biological fact that male subjects are uniformly larger than equivalent female subjects. The upper lip at rest and smiling were 1.2 mm and 0.9 mm respectively shorter in the women than in the men (P <0.01), and maxillary incisor exposures at rest were 0.6 mm more in the women than in the men (P <0.01).

Peck et al. [9] who recorded vertical measurements in young orthodontic patients (mean age, 15 years), observed that the difference in upper lip length between the sexes was only 2.2 mm, and that tooth exposures at rest were 5.3 mm in the girls and 4.7 mm in the boys.

The clinical central incisor crown was 0.4 mm shorter in the women than in the men, slightly less than the difference observed by Peck et al. [9]. Although not statistically significant the results showed that the smiling mandibular central incisor length was more in women than in men.

Table 1: Cross tabulation of smile patterns in both genders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>% Within Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>42.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Statistical analysis using chi square tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chi-Square Tests</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>P VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Chi-Square</td>
<td>9.001</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Valid Cases</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our findings suggest that a high smile pattern can be considered a female norm, since more than half of the women in this study exposed their gums while smiling, and a low smile pattern can be considered a male norm.

From the study we also find out that the upper lip contraction is more in the low smile pattern group (39%) compared to the high smile pattern groups (31%). This is contrary to the study by Peck et al. [5] where subjects with high smile pattern (more than 2 mm of gingival exposure in maximum smiling) had 20% more muscular capacity to raise the upper lip than did subjects without a gummy smile.

In contrast to a previous report, upper lip changes with aging were not observed in the study population. The young and narrow age distribution in this study is 1 reason that no age-related changes were observed.

Some pitfalls of this study were related to the selection criteria of the patient sample. Standard age and sex groups should be determined to eliminate any age-related soft-tissue changes, and previous plastic or aesthetic interventions should also exclude patients from the study. Also the smile has been quantified only in the vertical dimension. The smile in the horizontal dimension should also be quantified.

Conclusion

Data from this study clearly indicates a clear sexual dimorphism in upper lip length during smiling as well as during resting, maxillary incisor display and the vermilion height. Higher smile patterns are more common among female patients, and lower smile patterns are more common among male patients. Also on comparing the high smile pattern with low and average smile pattern groups the observations showed that Resting and smiling upper lip length was lesser, resting vermilion height was more and resting vermilion/external upper lip length ratio is also higher.
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Fig 1: vertical measurements:

I-at rest
II-smiling (A-resting external upper lip length B-resting vermilion height C-smiling external upper lip length(mm) D- smiling gingival display(mm) E- smiling maxillary central incisor display (mm) F- smiling mandibular central incisor length (mm))

Fig 2: Digital vernier calliper.-150MM/6 inch (Pia International)

Fig 3: Sexual dimorphism and prevalence of various smile patterns in study group