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Abstract
Mucosal fenestration is a pathological condition characterized by perforation of the alveolar bone and overlying mucosa. It exposes the root to the oral cavity making them susceptible to deposition of plaque and calculus which in turn prevents the reformation of mucosal coverage. Predisposing factors include a thin cortical alveolar bone, buccally inclined root tip, chronic periapical inflammation and trauma. In this case report the mucosal fenestration defect w.r.t to 22 was treated using a multidisciplinary approach. Nonsurgical endodontic treatment was first performed to control the infection. Subsequent surgical intervention was performed to treat the bony dehiscence with apicectomy and a combination of guided tissue regeneration and bony graft for dehiscence and connective tissue graft for treating mucosal fenestration. Patient was followed for a year, the result showed complete closure of the mucosal fenestration defect.
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Introduction
Alveolar process is the portion of maxilla and mandible that forms and supports the tooth socket. It forms when the tooth erupts in order to provide osseous attachment to the forming periodontal ligament [1]. It is the least stable of all the periodontal tissues because its structure is in a constant state of flux. Alveolar bone may undergo morphological alterations due to periodontal diseases resulting in osseous defects such as fenestrations and dehiscences, commonly involving facial bone more than the lingual bone and anterior teeth more than the posterior teeth [2]. The main cause of fenestrations and dehiscences are predominant root apex, malposition and labial proclinations of the roots, severe periapical inflammation with bone destruction [3].

Mucosal fenestration is one of the rare clinical pathological entities where the affected root portion is exposed to the oral cavity leading to the perforation of the overlying bone and the mucosa. They are less common than bone fenestrations [4]. Although they are asymptomatic they act as plaque retentive areas and pose aesthetic issues and irritation to the patient. The various treatment modalities for mucosal fenestrations include nonsurgical root canal treatment, apicectomy with retrograde filling [4], guided tissue regeneration combined with bone grafting [5] and periodontal surgeries like connective tissue graft and pedicle graft and free gingival graft [6] have been tried with reliable outcomes. Sub epithelial connective tissue graft or a free connective tissue graft, was first described by Langer & Calagna (1980) [7]. It is the gold standard for soft tissue augmentation and has been widely used in periodontal surgeries [8]. The present case reports the use of multidisciplinary approach including nonsurgical endodontic therapy followed by surgical management of the mucosal fenestration using a combination of GTR, bone graft and a connective tissue graft.

Case Report
A 30 year old male patient reported to the department of Conservative dentistry and endodontics, with chief complaint of discolored upper front teeth and pus discharge from exposed root surface. Patient gave the history of trauma in the same region around 17 years back. On intraoral examination both centrals and left lateral incisors were discolored, root apex of tooth 22 showed a mucosal fenestration approximately 5 mm in size exposing the root to the oral cavity (Fig1A). An access opening of tooth 11, 21, 22 was already done by the referring dentist. On palpation a purulent discharge was noticed from mucosal fenestration defect.
Radiographic examination revealed an immature open root apex w.r.t to teeth 11 and 21(Fig 1B) A CBCT (Cone beam computer tomography) was advised to know the morphology of the bone defect. The CBCT report revealed periapical bone destruction w.r.t 11, 21, 22 with alveolar bone dehiscence w.r.t 22 (Fig 1C).

The diagnosis was necrotic pulp with asymptomatic apical periodontitis w.r.t 11, 21 and 22. 22 also presented with a labial mucosal fenestration defect. Non surgical root canal debridement was performed for 11, 21. The treatment for 22 included a multidisciplinary approach. The first stage included a root canal treatment and second stage involved treating the mucosal fenestration surgically. After determining the working length the canals, walls were thoroughly cleaned and shaped copious irrigation was done with 3% hypochlorite, 17 % EDTA and canal was dried with paper points. Calcium hydroxide dressing was placed into the canals and temporary restoration was given. After 10 days the canals were chemo mechanically cleaned and calcium hydroxide dressing was changed. After 15 days canals were opened again calcium hydroxide paste was flushed out of the canal using thorough irrigation with 0.9% saline and final obturation was done. (Fig 1 D). The follow up after 1 month showed decrease in the mucosal fenestration from 5mm to 3mm. (Fig 1E). However, there was a discharge from the fenestration defect w.r.t 22 even after three weeks. Hence a surgical intervention was planned. Under local anesthesia a full thickness mucoperiosteal flap was reflected w.r.t 22. Labial surface of 22 was denuded of the bone but the mesial, distal and interdentally bone was intact. A bone defect was seen around the root apex. Degranulation was done around the root apex. An apicectomy procedure was performed using air rotor with diamond bur and 3mm of root was resected from the tooth apex followed by a retropreparation and MTA (mineral trioxide aggregate) retro filling (Fig 1 F). Alloplast bone graft (Perioglass™) was condensed into the osseous defect around the root apex (Fig 1G). A GTR membrane (Guided tissue regeneration) (Healiguide™) was placed covering the root and bone graft and sutured using a vicryl 4-0 (Fig 1H). The connective tissue graft (CTG) was harvested from palate using Hurzeler's 9 single incision method. The connective tissue graft was placed over the GTR membrane it was compressed and held in position for few minutes to reduce the dead space and immobilized with 4-0 vicryl sutures (Fig 1I). The inner surface of full thickness mucoperiosteal flap was trimmed cutretted and sutured back into position over the Connective tissue graft (Fig 1J). The surgical site was protected using a periodontal pack (COE PACK™). Post operative instructions were given to the patient and antibiotics and analgesics were prescribed. Patient was also prescribed 0.2% chlorhexidine mouthwash twice a day for 2 weeks. The patient was followed up after 2 weeks. On removal of the pack the surgical site showed the presence of a layer of debris and mild inflammation. Saline was used to flush the debris. The patient was advised gingival massage in the surgical site. After 3 months the surgical site appeared completely healed and the mucosal fenestration defect was closed. Patient was followed up for a period of a year and showed no sign of inflammation, the mucosal fenestration defect was completely closed (Fig 1K) the radiograph showed adequate bone formation in the periapical region (Fig 1L).

Discussion
Mucosal fenestrations of the root apex are a rarely observed complication of pulpal periradicular disease. Combined loss of bone and soft tissue results in denuded and clinically exposed root surface which jeopardizes overall prognosis of the tooth. Mucosal fenestration usually accompanies severe destruction of the surrounding bone. Probable etiological factors may be prominent root apex thin buccal cortical plate and chronic periapical infection.

Though the literature on management of mucosal fenestration is very scarce there are some reports available. The successful management of mucosal fenestration depends on identifying...
the cause of its occurrence. Studies done by Peacock et al.\textsuperscript{10} reported the use of connective tissue graft for successful management of gingival fenestration. Chen et al.\textsuperscript{11} also reported successful management of mucosal fenestrations in which demineralised freeze-dried bone allograft was packed into the bony defect and covered with C TG to achieve soft tissue coverage. Melloning et al.\textsuperscript{12} and Flemming et al.\textsuperscript{13} showed favorable outcomes with bone graft.

Pecora et al.\textsuperscript{14} showed that the quality and quantity of the regenerated bone were superior when GTR procedures were performed for large periapical lesions, and human histological studies confirmed that the new tissue gained from GTR therapy consisted of mature lamellar bone. GTR techniques improve the outcomes of bone regeneration after surgical endodontic treatment of teeth with large periapical lesions\textsuperscript{15}.

A CTG is thought to transform a thin gingival tissue into a thick one. Healing of mucosal defect is expedited by allowing for migration of epithelial cells from the edges of the defect and helps in reattaching the mucosal soft tissue to the exposed root surface. Successful management of periapical mucosal fenestration requires adequate debridement of the root canal followed by reconstruction of bony and mucosal defect\textsuperscript{16}. In the present case 11, 21, 22 were nonvital and were first treated by root canal therapy to eliminate the foci of infection. After a month of follow up the mucosal fenestration w.r.t 22 was treated by a surgical intervention to remove granulation tissue from the root apex, followed by apicectomy and retro filling with MTA. Guided tissue regeneration combined with an alloplast was used in the periapical bone defect to regenerate lost periodontal tissue.

Connective tissue graft aids in healing of the mucosal defect and carries the genetic message for the overlying epithelium to become keratinized and improved aesthetics can be achieved.

For the treatment of apical lesions with mucosal fenestration, optional root canal treatment and good retrograde filling to seal the canals is mandatory. MTA has excellent sealing ability, reliable healing rate\textsuperscript{17} and predictable results are seen with its use, osseous defect debridement, GTR procedures, and connective tissue graft are important to achieve desirable treatment outcomes.

The treatment regimen described in this case report demonstrated significant clinical improvement and complete mucosal fenestration defect coverage. More cases need to be reported to validate the success and the reliability of this approach.

**Conclusion**

Careful diagnosis of mucosal fenestration managed by a combination of endodontic and surgical technique can result in an optimum outcome.
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